

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/752,065	12/29/2000	Emilio Alberti	YOR920000593	7741	
7590 04/19/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Blanche E. Schiller, Esq.			HEWITT II, CALVIN L		
HESLIN & ROTHENBERG, P.C. 5 Columbia Circle Albany, NY 12203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3621		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
- Advisory Action	09/752,065	ALBERTI ET AL.	
- Marisory Modell	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Calvin L Hewitt II	3621	Mhi
The MAILING DATE f this communicati n appe	ars n the c ver sheet with the c	rrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 26 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply places the applica	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of to (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount in the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection FINAL REJECTION. State 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		•	
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	<b>S</b> .
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		•	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consid 	dered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belov	☐ will be entered a w or appended.	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14, 16-39, and 41-67</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appro	oved or b) disapproved by the	e Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO- <u>1</u> ,449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:	JOHN W. HAYES PRIMARY EXAMINER		

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The Applicant is attempting to differentiate the amended claims from the prior art based on the use of the term "enterprise resource management". However, the "term" ERP is broad and encompasses the automation/computerization of various standard workflow manufacturing processes. Therefore, the prior art continues to read on the Applicant's claims.